





Our Primary Strategy for 2011

- Help our clients reduce their operating expenses associated with:
- Compensations programs
- Benefit Plans
- Physician Integration / Relationships
- Develop partnerships that are beneficial to our clients



We developed a call pay program designed to meet the following goals:

- Transition from a cash payment philosophy to the
- development and implementation of a retirement program
- opportunity
- Maintain integrity of medical staff process
- Generate immediate and long-term savings
- Control future escalation in call pay amount
- Flexibility in implementation
- Provide a competitive differentiation
- Encourage long-term retention



Call Pay Facts

- 2008 36% of hospitals provided on-call pay to at least one Service
- 2009 86% of hospitals provided on-call pay to at least one Service
- From 2007-2010 call pay expenses have increased:
 - 88% in trauma centers
 - 91% in non-trauma centers

Call Pay Dilemma – Systems

- Paying cash for call typically undermines the established medical staff process
- Cost of call is becoming a significant burden on hospital operating margins
- Current structure unsustainable as costs are escalating yearly at unacceptable rates
- Hospital systems face increasing call pay requests inevitably becoming the industry standard
- Increasing strain on emergency departments increasing number of uninsured patients



Call Dilemma — Physicians

- Perception that "On-Call" problem for physicians is unreimbursed care
- In reality, "On-Call" is a time (lifestyle) issue
- Historical attempts have been to solve this with monetary Payment
- Payment is made/taxed/spent money is gone and the time (lifestyle) issue is unchanged
- Current call pay structure will never be enough to reimburse for excess time away from family



Additional Physician Issues

- Call time adds increasing burden to physician work schedules
- Call time limits physicians' opportunity to maximize income
- Reduces in-clinic time
- Reduces opportunity to schedule elective cases
- Increases exposure to uninsured patients and corresponding legal risk
- Private practice physicians have difficult time sheltering money for retirement
- Qualified plans inadequate to meet the needs of highly compensated physicians increased exposure to market risk

Solution

Our approach to solving the call pay issue is focused on answering four key questions:

- How do we generate immediate savings for systems?
- Can we offset physician time issues by addressing another critical issue?
- How do we design a plan to more adequately reward physicians for time commitment?
- How do we stabilize and support the existing medical staff process?



Is a retirement-based approach to call pay that combines a specially-designed indexed universal life insurance contract with a unique tax replacement strategy that provides physicians with greater long-term value while reducing both short-and long-term cost to the organization



Designed to provide a tax leveraged savings opportunity similar to a Roth IRA

Similarities

- Contributions made after-tax
- Account grows tax-deferred
- Distributions are tax-free

Differences

- No income limits for participation/No limit on contributions
- Provides a gross up of up to 40% on after-tax contributions to replace potential taxes paid
- Contributions are invested in a highly tax-efficient indexed universal life insurance contract
- Provides minimum annual guaranteed return

"Dollar for Dollar, A Roth IRA may just be the best savings plan in America." - Money Magazine, October 2008

Call Pay Comparison



Comparison of Call Pay options

Current annual call pay obligation of \$35,000 reduced to \$25,000 (plus interest) in the CPIS Program

Impact to organization using CPIS scenario

Impact of organization if Call Pay is paid in cash annually

Year	Proposed call pay	Match / Loan	Annual interest on Loan		Total Annual cost to Organization	
I	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$575		\$25,575	
2	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$1,150		\$26,150	
3	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$1,725		\$26,725	
4	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$2,300		\$27,300	
5	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$2,875		\$27,300	
:						
20	\$25,000	\$6,000	\$11,500		\$36,500	
Totals	\$500,000	\$120,000	\$120,750		\$620,750	
NPV @ 6%	\$286,748	\$68,820	\$56,753		\$343,501	
Assumptions: Annual increase in Call Pay (if paid in cash): 3%						

Assumptions: Annual increase in Call Pay (if paid in cash): 3% Tax rate: 40% Loan interest rate: 6.0% Carrier: Penn Mutual Life

Year	Call Pay
I	\$35,000
2	\$36,050
3	\$37,132
4	\$38,248
5	\$39,393
:	
20	\$61,373

Totals	\$940,463
NPV @ 6%	\$509,654



- Provided on an after-tax basis
- Outside of IRS deferred compensation scrutiny
- Utilizes a highly tax-efficient indexed universal life insurance Product
- Immediately vested fully portable
- Provides a match on contributions
- Participant contribution is matched annually up to 40%
- Match funded internally through a policy loan
- Match not reportable on 990
- Organization pays annual financing cost on the match
- Only vehicle that offers tax-deferred earnings and tax-free distributions
- Provides minimum annual guaranteed return
- Tax-free distributions reduce exposure to increasing tax rates
- Assets protected from malpractice claims (in most states)



- 2% Minimum Guaranteed Return
- Annual Crediting Options
- Greater of 2% minimum guarantee or performance of S&P 500 (capped at 14%)
- Fixed crediting rate (currently 5%)
- Tax-Free Death Benefit
- Tax-Free Retirement Income for Life
- Optional Gross-Up Loan
- Available immediately
- Up to 40% of contribution to reinvest in contract
- Loan interest capped at 6%



- 2% Minimum Guaranteed Return
- Annual Crediting Options
- Greater of 2% minimum guarantee or performance of S&P 500 (capped at 13%)
- Fixed crediting rate (currently 5.25%)
- Tax-Free Death Benefit
- Tax-Free Retirement Income for Life
- Optional Gross-Up Loan
- Available immediately
- Up to 40% of contribution to reinvest in contract
- Loan interest capped at 6%

Between 1984 and 2003, the S&P 500 Index grew by 12.98%. During that time the average investor, however, earned only 3.51% while the average market timer lost 3.29%

DALBAR Quantitative Analysis of Investor Behavior, 1984-2003.



68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 Year

Represents 34 years of S&P 500 returns

Represents the application of the Life Income Strategy over the same period (never goes negative)

The Call Pay Income Strategy– Contract Details

Earning a Return Greater Than	Annual Returns	3-yr Returns	5-yr Returns	10-yr Returns	20-yr Returns
5.0%	70.2%	92.7%	98.1%	100.0%	100.0%
6.0%	68.2%	84.4%	90.4%	100.0%	100.0%
6.5%	66.0%	82.4%	87.8%	100.0%	100.0%
7.0%	63.0%	78.0%	83.8%	100.0%	99.3%
7.5%	61.0%	73.3%	77.7%	100.0%	95.9%
8.0%	58.7%	68.1%	72.4%	97.3%	85.8%
8.5%	57.7%	61.6%	65.2%	89.6%	71.0%
9.0%	55.4%	55.1%	56.8%	72.0%	48.6%
10.0%	51.5%	28.9%	34.1%	25.1%	8.7%





The Call Pay Income Strategy Retirement Funding Comparison- 45 Year Old

	Call Pay paid in cash (25 year stream)	Call Pay Income Strategy (income stream to Age 121)
Call Pay Amount	\$35,000	\$25,000
Income Tax Paid	(\$14,000)	(\$10,000)
Contribution Gross up Loan	0	\$6,000
Amount to defer of invest annually	\$21,000	\$21,000
Annual after-tax retirement income	\$63,156	\$87,500
Total after-tax retirement income	\$1,578,900	\$4,375,000
Free of forfeiture risk	Yes	Yes
Free of corporate insolvency risk	Yes	Yes
Protection from increasing tax rates	No	Yes
Tax-free life insurance death benefit	No	Yes

The Call Pay Income Strategy delivers a **38%** increase in after-tax retirement income versus cash in a 25 year income stream.

Assumptions: Tax rate: 40%; investment yield of 7% gross during accumulation phase and 5.5% during distribution phase; investment yield of 7% for CPIS; annual call pay increase of 3%; income stream begins at Age 71.